Brownian motion and Stochastic Calculus Dylan Possamaï

## Assignment 10

## Exercise 1

We fix a standard one-dimensional  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -Brownian motion.

1) Show that for any  $C^{1,2}$  function  $f: [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that there exists some continuous function  $C: [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with

$$|\partial_x f(t,x)| \le C(t) \mathrm{e}^{C(t)|x|}, \ (t,x) \in [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$(0.1)$$

the process  $(f(t, B_t))_{t>0}$  will be an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -martingale if and only if

$$\partial_t f(t,x) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 f(t,x) = 0, \ (t,x) \in [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}.$$
 (0.2)

2) in this question, we are looking for functions f of the form

$$f(t,x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{i,j} t^{i} x^{j}, \ (t,x) \in [0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R},$$

for some integer n and real numbers  $(a_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in\{0,\dots,n\}^2}$ . Show that the process  $f(t, B_t)$  is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -martingale if and only if the  $(a_{0,j})_{j\in\{0,\dots,n\}}$  are arbitrarily fixed and

$$\begin{cases} a_{i,j} = (-1)^i \frac{(j+2i)!}{2i!j!} a_{0,j+2i}, \ j+2i \le n, \\ a_{i,j} = 0, \ j+2i > n, \end{cases}$$

## Exercise 2

Consider, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^x = a(X_t^x)\mathrm{d}t + b(X_t^x)\mathrm{d}W_t, \ X_0^x = x,$$

where W is a  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued Brownian motion,  $a: \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $b: \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$  are measurable and locally bounded. We fix a non-empty, bounded open subset U of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and assume that for any  $x \in U$ , we have with  $T_U^x := \inf\{s \ge 0: X_s^x \notin U\}$ , that  $T_U^x$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -integrable.

Moreover, consider the boundary problem

$$Lu(x) + c(x)u(x) = -f(x)$$
, for  $x \in U$ ,  $u(x) = g(x)$ , for  $x \in \partial U$ ,

where  $f \in C_b(U)$ ,  $g \in C_b(\partial U)$ ,  $c \leq 0$  is a uniformly bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and L is defined by

$$Lf(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{d} a^{i}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in \{1,\dots,d\}^{2}} \left(bb^{\top}\right)^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}(x).$$

Show that if  $u \in C^2(U) \cap C(\overline{U})$  is a solution of the above boundary problem and  $(X_t^x)_{t\geq 0}$  is a solution of the SDE for some  $x \in U$ , then

$$u(x) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}\left[g(X_{T_{U}^{x}}^{x})\exp\left(\int_{0}^{T_{U}^{x}}c(X_{s}^{x})\mathrm{d}s\right)\right] + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}\left[\int_{0}^{T_{U}^{x}}f(X_{s}^{x})\exp\left(\int_{0}^{s}c(X_{r}^{x})\mathrm{d}r\right)\mathrm{d}s\right].$$

## Exercise 3

Let  $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion.

1) Show that the SDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sqrt{1 + X_s^2} \mathrm{d}B_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t X_s \mathrm{d}s, \tag{0.3}$$

admits a unique strong solution for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

2) Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $(\beta_t, \gamma_t)_{t \ge 0}$  two independent one-dimensional Brownian motions. Show that

$$Y_t := \exp(\beta_t) \left( x + \int_0^t \exp(-\beta_s) d\gamma_s \right), \ t \ge 0,$$

is well-defined and solves (0.3) fro some well-chosen Brownian motion B. Deduce that for  $a := \operatorname{argsinh}(x)$ ,

$$(Y_t, t \ge 0) \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} (\sinh(a+B_t), t \ge 0).$$

3) We now go to a slightly more general setting.

a) Show that if the map  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^2$  diffeomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\Phi_t := \varphi(B_t)$  satisfies

$$\Phi_t = \varphi(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(\Phi_s) \mathrm{d}B_s + \int_0^t b(\Phi_s) \mathrm{d}s, \qquad (0.4)$$

where

$$\sigma(x) := (\varphi' \circ \varphi^{(-1)})(x), \ b(x) := \frac{1}{2}(\varphi'' \circ \varphi^{(-1)})(x).$$

b) Conversely, if  $\sigma, b : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Lispchitz functions with appropriate growth, we know that the SDE (0.4) admits a unique strong solution. Under which conditions on  $(\sigma, b)$  can we solve the system

$$\varphi'(y) = \sigma(\varphi(y)), \ \varphi''(y) = 2b(\varphi(y)),$$

so that the solution of (0.4) is  $\Phi_t = \varphi(B_t)$ ?